

GREEN BAY/BROWN COUNTY PROFESSIONAL FOOTBALL STADIUM DISTRICT

Pursuant to Section 19.84, Wis. Stats., a meeting of the Green Bay/Brown County Professional Football Stadium District Board was held on **Monday, March 23, 2026**, at 2:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Leah Weycker, Keith Lucius, Mark Graul, Terence Bouressa, David Charles, and Amaad Rivera-Wagner.

EXCUSED: Sen. Robert Cowles

ALSO PRESENT: Brian Dworak, Bill Vande Castle, Beth Ulatowski, and Joel Everts.

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by Chair Weycker at approximately 2:00 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Roll call was taken. All Board members were present except Sen. Cowles who was excused and Mr. Rivera-Wagner arrived at 2:10 p.m.

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Board recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

4. APPROVE/MODIFY AGENDA

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DAVID CHARLES AND SECONDED BY MARK GRAUL TO APPROVE THE AGENDA. A vote was taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

5. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES – DECEMBER 8, 2025

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DAVID CHARLES AND SECONDED BY KEITH LUCIUS TO APPROVE THE MINUTES. A vote was taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Graul mentioned there were several items from the last meeting that had follow-ups associated with them that were not on the agenda for today. He feels there should be some sort of system in place to follow up on them. Mr. Dworak stated that he will be addressing them but they are not on the agenda. In particular, the virtual meeting policy. He did not get a chance to work on that so that will be something that will be coming in follow-up. Mr. Graul mentioned the virtual meeting policy and the ticket tax for concerts and the special events item. Mr. Dworak said he has spoken with the Chair about it and they are working on setting up a meeting with Mr. Charles in April. The other follow-up item was WIAA Girls Basketball and how that went without our funding for Rush to the Resch and Discover Green Bay will be addressing that after the Forest Lakes District presentation.

Mr. Graul thinks it would be helpful because meetings are three months apart. The minutes are useful to remind me what we spoke about, but it would be nice to have those follow-up items, whether it's in the Director's report or on the agenda in open items it would be helpful.

6. COMMUNICATIONS

A. March 3, 2026, Green Bay Press Gazette Article RE: 2026 Lambeau Field Events

Mr. Dworak shared an article from the Press Gazette recently around events for 2026 and given the Packers schedule this year they will have nine home games and not playing anything internationally. The article also details the concerts and the Badger-Notre Dame football game and the economic impact of those. So 12 major stadium events this year is good for Green Bay and he thought that was worthy of sharing.

B. Late Communications (if any)

There were no late communications.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DAVID CHARLES AND SECONDED BY MARK GRAUL TO RECEIVE THE COMMUNICATION AND PLACE ON FILE. Vote taken. MOTION PASSED.

7. SPECIAL EVENTS & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUND UPDATE:

A. Detail of Special Events and Economic Development Fund

Mr. Dworak gave a Special Events and Economic Development Fund update. No significant updates from the last meeting. It includes details of the coming events and added the continuing Draft commitment footnote as discussed at the last meeting just to make everyone aware it is still out there. There were no questions on the statement.

B. Post Event Report – Forest Lakes (January 2026)

Ms. Ulatowski presented on Forest Lakes event. It finished in January with another great convention. They have additional room nights in the second year of Discover Green Bay working with the group to coordinate all of their housing for them. She reported it went very, very well and they were very pleased with the process for housing again. They have a new planner who isn't as aggressive in putting several kids in one room and he's more into making people comfortable. This meant we've utilized more rooms than we have in the past and we'll continue to do that as long as he's in charge. So again, overall, it was a great conference. She stated again that this is a group that they've had for many years. She's done the research and if they were to lose that group, there is really nothing they could replace it with at that time of year. Therefore, they're doing what it takes to be able to accommodate them and make sure that they have a great event so they continue to come back every January when the area hotels are quiet.

Vice-Chair Lucius asked what type of group it was. Ms. Ulatowski said it is a youth religious group, and part of it is community service projects. They get out in the community and see things when they are here.

Mr. Graul mentioned they needed funds to offset their transportation because they were spread out at various hotels. Ms. Ulatowski agreed which if they went someplace like Milwaukee or

the Dells, they wouldn't have that cost because they would have other rooms right there at the common spaces. She said the Kalahari in Wisconsin Dells has been after for them for a long time to come there instead. They were looking at them last year or two years ago when Discover Green Bay offered to put out your reservations? Does that make things easier for you? And, you know, convince you to stay in Green Bay. So it did. She said they like Green Bay. They kind of like being the big fish in the smaller pond where there's not like a ton of other groups hanging out at the same time. She said they are really it during that week because there are so many of them. Mr. Dworak asked if the Kalahari has enough rooms to do that entire conference. Ms. Ulatowski said they do and it's a great location for big events like that. Mr. Charles added that they don't have an airport. Ms. Ulatowski agreed and said they do hear that complaint, and people have to fly into Madison.

Chair Weycker said it looks like Discover Green Bay also contributed to the event. Ms. Ulatowski said they had to in order to accommodate all of their housing needs. They had to make some big adjustments to their system, so they absorbed those costs just to keep the group here and keep area hotels full at that time of the year. Mr. Charles said it sounds like they're doing a great job. Mr. Dworak thanked Ms. Ulatowski for the report.

Mr. Everts shared some initial observations from WIAA girls' basketball. This was the 50th year. He's been waiting for a final count, but it sounds like 25,000 was the total tickets sold which is around the average for the year. He mentioned at the beginning that there was a couple of teams that were here that were asking why there was not the Rush to the Resch because they had either competed or won before. There were some initial observations that the D1 schools, the bigger schools, just aren't bringing the fans. Notre Dame moved up and one of our coworkers was at that game and it was noted there was hardly any student section. They're all on spring break or we've heard that a couple of times the boys team is maybe playing on that Saturday for their sectional championship to go to state. And so they're going to that versus coming up to Green Bay. So that was just one or two schools that we heard that observation. So any way to prove whether or not the attendance was as a result of not having Rush-to-Resch is hard. Did a school not sell as many tickets because there wasn't the incentive -- he could ask a couple of them post event if that was why. Did we lose attendance because we didn't have it? -- it's hard to say that too. Did we gain that back because it was the 50th? We had a display in our visitor center since about the beginning of the year, and about 500 people came in and saw that including even the teams were asked to come in and pick up their gift from us. Discover Green Bay donated an iron-on patch with the 50th logo on it, and all 20 teams came in and pick those up at our visitor center.

Mr. Dworak asked Mr. Everts to get more official final data from the WIAA on the girls tournament this year, it would be helpful to probably put it into that history summary and just look at an attendance trend of what this year was versus some of the prior years where we had the rush to the rush. Mr. Everts said he would take a look at the schools that have been here in the past and maybe even see how they did ticket sales wise as compared to the schools that were here this past year.

Mr. Rivera-Wagner asked about the District's contribution to the Forest District -- was that approved last year? Mr. Dworak said that was approved last year and we still have two more years on special events. At that point, we approved a three-year commitment, so we're funded through 2028 with that group.

8. GAME/EVENT REVIEW – PACKERS VS PANTHERS NOVEMBER 2, 2025

Mr. Dworak explained this is a complement to the annual maintenance assessment program that Sigma does over the summer, which is the physical assessment, and this game day review gives us an opportunity to kind of see the building in action and see things as they're intended to be used on game days as opposed to in a blank environment and just to see if there's different outcomes than what you would have expected. A lot of times we're focused on some of the capital improvements that the team has made over the years and to see if they're having the intended impact or if there are other things that we might want to be suggesting to them as ways to improve the overall fan experience. So part of that report is a list of some of the capital improvements that have taken place over the past couple of years. The current year, 2025-2026, does not have as many of the more fan-focused improvements as we've seen in the past with concourses and some of the grab-and-go's and some part of that was limitation with the Draft next year, and they didn't have the full offseason to work on those. Some of it's just where they are in the cycle. A couple of things that we have highlighted on this report in the past is where the designated drop-off spot for dropping folks off continues to be right across Lombardi Avenue on that little cul-de-sac in the back and the only way to get across is to go up and over curbs, which might be a challenging way for people to cross there. It may also be a challenge to get construction approved through city or state entities who maintain that roadway to get curb cuts and otherwise. But it's just something we continue to highlight and bring to the team's attention. He knows that that may not even be a long-term drop-off point available to the Team because he thinks he's seen some potential redevelopment plans for that site in the past as well. One of the other things we've highlighted in the past is the entry process, where security screening tends to be one of the bigger funnel points of things. This year they did observe at least at the two highest traffic gates, the Kwik Trip and the Oneida Nation Gates, they did add some metal detectors and staggered them in ways where for most of the entry period the holdup was actually the ticket scanning process now as opposed to security. The security was much better at those locations and at some point there's a 10 to 15 minute window where there's just so many people coming in at that point in the game, you can't take care of all of them. But I would say that they have made improvements to those two high traffic gates in those areas.

He reported they also highlighted some areas where stanchions around restrooms or stanchions around concession stands would help prevent things from going straight across. A lot of times you'll just see a line come out the door that will queue straight across the concourse, kind of impeding people's ability. Again, some of those were in place this year and that just helps improve the overall traffic flow on the concourse. They continue to observe the concessions grab-and-go's, the conversion from the more static counter front service to the grab-and-go concept where you've got 10 to 12 points of sale exiting. He thinks it's been a really good improvement for the overall food and beverage experience at the building over the years. So, all in all, no other really significant issues or concerns were noted during Sigma's game day review this year as part of the overall maintenance monitoring process.

Mr. Graul asked about the drop-off point. What is the Packers' reasoning for not addressing that? Mr. Dworak said there are a couple things - to find a location that gets you close enough to the building without getting inside the traffic pattern of everything that's going on, I think they've found to be a challenge and he feels they've felt that it was a permanent enough solution to try to go to the City or to try to go to other stakeholders and ask if they could cut out the curbs in this middle section and make it a true identified crosswalk point of view. Mr. Charles added that they put 20,000 people through that crosswalk it works really well for them. They have police there that are staffing it it's just if you've got any mobility issues it's not a place where you can cross, you have to get up to the corner

and so maybe that's the other part of it. He hasn't talked at length with Mr. Popkey about it in a while but there is the opportunity to go up to the corner but it's a little bit longer walk. Mr. Gaul said it's obviously something that you have flagged for that to highlight. He thought the objective of this was to have things highlighted and addressed.

Mr. Rivera-Wagner wanted to echo Mr. Gaul's sentiment. At no point have the Packers talked to the City about this issue for a request or an interest to change it. But it seems like it probably could be solved. Mr. Dworak said again, one of the points is with redevelopment happening there, he doesn't know if the Team views this as a temporary versus permanent option. He doesn't know what's better. If there was a better option, he would have thought they would have used it or put it into place right now.

Mr. Rivera-Wagner mentioned that during the Draft they did have a designated drop-off spot, and that seemed to be able to see less people between the two entrances on this street right here because they had very clear demarcations about where ride shares or cabs or whomever could go and or where you parked. He was curious why that isn't being carried forward. Secondly, he was at several of the games last season, and the line queues for the bathroom were shockingly long. This seemed new and he noticed that that was also in the report. He pointed to some photos on pages 17 and 18 and that doesn't even show how long people were waiting and the reason he knew that is because he also volunteered for Section Yellow at one game. While there, half of the time he was trying to convince people to go to different bathrooms because the line was so long it actually blocked the Section Yellow, which is the sobriety section. He wants to know why there are no recommendations for solving this issue.

Mr. Dworak said that part of the observation there is similar to security at the entrance. At the highest of the peak times, you simply do not have enough fixtures for everyone. Perhaps we should be making more of a recommendation to say they need stanchions everywhere if we're simply trying to avoid the crossing of that traffic.

Mr. Rivera-Wagner said it was like when he was telling people who were in line for longer than half time, the line stayed pretty much the entire game. There was no point where there was a super short line except for way at the beginning. He was saying if we're trying to improve the fan experience, he thinks people being able to go to the bathroom within five to ten minutes regardless of gender might just be an overall good improvement that we could all feel good about funding. But he's new so maybe he's just asking questions that have already been answered. Chair Weycker said it was a good question.

Mr. Dworak said they will put that on the list to follow up on again and will also review with Mr. Popkey and maybe even with the Packers' facilities person when they get together in August to do the facility assessment. They can talk a little bit about that part of it and what other ways they can brainstorm together to help address how those queues come up. Because, again, the only way to solve the lines at all is just adding sheer numbers of fixtures.

Mr. Rivera-Wagner agreed but it's not like they're struggling with poverty-level wages and it's not like they can't create more options for people. But he compliments them that the process to get in through ticketing and security is actually going faster. While there might be small hiccups for very small amounts of time, you can literally feel how fast it's going and the biggest issue is people taking so long to pull up the tickets on their phone. Everything else is moving so much more quickly just this last year. So he wanted to say that structural improvements do actually improve overall fan experience

because generally it was very, very positive and it was very clear that some of the problems this year were user generated and not necessarily structural. He thinks that's a really good improvement so he could only imagine what could be done if they could also apply that to this issue.

Mr. Charles asked what authority or direction the District has in this. It seems like a waste of time to talk about us changing this because we don't have any way to get them to do it. He added that he also gives them credit regarding the fan experience which is more important to the Packers probably even than it is to us because that's what generates their continued activity and revenue and their ability to raise ticket prices. So he's just sort of wondering, as we talk about some of these things, are they sort of moot issues? Because if we disagree with them, at the end of the day, we have no say so, right?

Mr. Dworak doesn't think the District can compel them to build more bathrooms if they're operating a building that's compliant with code as a result of the number of fixtures. He thinks they can simply be another sounding board to say we think these are really good ideas. Most teams do take a lot of feedback, in terms of surveys and collecting data that way or secret shopper programs that go through some of those things. So for another data point that can help reinforce some of those things, maybe you get to move the needle a little bit, but in terms of having any teeth he's not sure.

Vice-Chair Lucius asked about the capital improvement funds or if we can hold off like we did with the extra locker room and if we were going to hold off right now if you're not doing this, we're not going to fund to have some influence.

Mr. Charles doesn't think the District has much to say about the capital improvements. At the end of the day, that whole thing seems like a moot issue. We should look at it, study it, approve it, but we don't really have any ability to change it or stop it. That's a good thing.

Mr. Rivera-Wagner said if he's supposed to vote on something and supposed to approve it and he doesn't like the conditions in which he should approve it, he's not going to vote for it. So at the end of the day, whether it's real cheap or not, if he has to vote for something he's not just going to rubber stamp it because it's just the Packers. He wants to ask if there is anything we can work on together collaboratively? Obviously, he's not saying his vote comes at a cost, but he's not going to put his stamp of approval on something that he fundamentally disagrees with.

Chair Weycker said since we're paying Sigma to do this, too, she feels like that's where our input should be -- to Sigma. When you identify these things, can you also make a recommendation on how to improve them? Everything says satisfactory here. So truly it wasn't necessarily all satisfactory. Mr. Dworak said this particular report is a little bit more subjective than maybe the physical assessment is. and, again, what's a satisfactory versus unsatisfactory line for restrooms -- 30 minutes seems probably unsatisfactory.

Mr. Bouressa said it depends when you go. It's all about timing. If you're going to go at halftime, you're going to stand in line. When the usage is in, you don't get a notification on your phone to go to the bathroom now, so it's a nice, even usage, either.

Mr. Dworak said you can maybe solve or address some of those things in different ways, right? Either physical, you're talking physical restrooms, number of fixtures. There's also a technology that some venues are using called the wait time. It's kind of AI-focused and can tell you how long your wait would be at this concession stand or at certain restrooms. So there are certain technology solutions

where if someone really wanted to plan for it, not where you are in the game, but there's a human nature part of that as well.

Chair Weycker mentioned they have addressed the ADA parking before. Mr. Dworak said there is ADA parking but this is the ADA drop-off location they are addressing. It is the only drop-off location but they don't designate between ADA and other.

Mr. Vande Castle said from a historical perspective, this is a discussion that's been going on since almost day one. Since the stadium reopened after the reconstruction, it's been on an agenda at least once a year that their bathroom lines are too long. And he doesn't think you can ever solve that problem. He's not saying you don't try.

Mr. Graul said he feels that they pay Sigma to do the survey and if one of the things we see in the survey is this, then the Packers should at least tell us they can't solve the restroom issue. But at least address it or try to address it because it seems like if we are going to go through the work of doing an assessment like this and discuss it and review it that there ought to be some sort of requirement, or as one of the landlords of this facility, we should have some ability to influence the Packers.

Mr. Charles asked if they didn't say it was okay in the report. The way he read it said there was no problem. So if the District pays them and they say there's no problem, how do we argue and say they didn't do it right?

Mr. Rivera-Wagner said the report states that even though they added some improvements, there were still long lines. His original question was, how could you make a statement that the improvements that they did actually didn't make any remedy, and then the response is that there are no improvements recommended which was interesting and that's why he was confused.

Mr. Bouressa said they were trying to keep the lines from blocking traffic. It wasn't about how long the lines were, it was whether it was blocking people from walking through.

Mr. Dworak said he will work with the Packers to talk about how we address this and do follow-up on any of the recommendations or notes that we've made. He will also work with Sigma to make sure they're more clear about specifically what items were being addressed. And if they are noting issues, then they should have a recommendation in that report.

Mr. Rivera-Wagner said another place where the line queuing became a challenge that's also in this report is the actual Pro Shop. They must be making hand over fist in that Pro Shop. But it was shocking at certain times. And this was, again, before the game all the time there were long lines out the door. He feels it's a great problem to have and he doesn't know if the District is supposed to help them solve it or not.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DAVID CHARLES AND SECONDED BY AMAAD RIVERA-WAGNER TO RECEIVE THE GAME REVIEW AND PLACE ON FILE. A vote was taken. MOTION CARRIED.

9. FINANCIAL REPORTS DECEMBER 2025 AND FEBRUARY 2026

Mr. Dworak reported on two different reports in this section. The first one is the year-end December report. and he apologized for how tiny this printed, but he's trying to keep it on one page but will work on format. He noted he didn't necessarily have anything significant to report beyond that there were no big changes after our forecast in December. The real controllable part of our budget, the District operating fund, ended up better than budget for year end last year by about \$40,000. A big chunk of that was some funds budgeted for lease negotiations if needed were not used last year.

Mr. Graul asked about the year-end financials under public charges are \$12.3 million but it's \$11.8 million actual. Mr. Dworak said it should be pulling forward from all the sheets behind it. The discrepancy was in August and that might just be an entry error. He will look at that and get an update on that.

Mr. Graul asked about the all the license plate fee revenue in August. Mr. Dworak said that just comes to us once a year from the State. He asked about revenues and if the \$5.5 million transfer from 8257 Fund was not true revenue. Mr. Dworak said that is correct.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DAVID CHARLES AND SECONDED BY AMAAD RIVERA-WAGNER TO ACCEPT THE FINANCIAL REPORTS. A vote was taken. MOTION CARRIED.

Mr. Charles mentioned that because the agenda packet comes out 10 days in advance it would be helpful that the committee members as they look at it they call the Executive Director if they have questions. That way they don't have to get into so much detail during the meeting if they find themselves struggling with something.

Mr. Rivera-Wagner said he does read these ahead of time but thinks it's really important that we have a discussion as a collective. He knows he can call anytime but also thinks that's part of his job to have this conversation.

Mr. Charles said the discussions are great if you have a problem, but if you just are struggling to interpret something, let them give you the information so that your question then becomes practical application of, oh, I discovered this, I have a problem, versus, well, is this red or green? He feels it's their job to sort through the problems, not necessarily just deal with trying to understand where they come from. The first question was the best of the bunch. They don't match, right? And it would have been nice if we would've beat Brian up about that three days ago so he could come to the meeting and tell everyone he fixed it because now we all walk away not knowing it.

10. REQUEST FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FUNDS – CHILLER EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT

Mr. Dworak explained the Team is making a capital equipment request, replacing three of their chillers, and they have asked to use the capital improvements fund for that project. At this point, this is not a request for payment of the funds. It's just a request for commitment of those funds given the timeline of this. They'd be looking for about a million dollars of this in the first quarter of 2027 after they do the 2026 work. And then phase two would be a year later. There's some additional background from their vendor in how they got to their budget summary and the history on it. There's also in your

packets some background from the Lease on what this fund is used for. He handed out a page that was missing in the packet that has other projects listed.

Mr. Charles said they've done a good job of documenting it, thinks it's qualified, and wanted to make a motion for approval of their request.

Mr. Gaul asked about a staff labor charge 2011-2012. Mr. Charles said this is old stuff. It's already done. Mr. Dworak said he thinks it was related to when the south end zone was being built, the user fee transitioned from the City who had collected it previously over to the District. And as part of developing that program and that transition there was some District staff labor that was allowed to be used out of this fund.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DAVID CHARLES AND SECONDED BY MARK GAUL TO APPROVE THE REQUEST FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUNDS – CHILLER EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT. A VOTE WAS TAKEN. MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Rivera-Wagner asked why it was being broken up into two payments. Mr. Dworak said they're breaking it up because that's how they stage the work and they don't want to take these offline during the season. So you do one part in the first off-season and the second part of the project in the second off-season. It is not about a funding piece. It's all about how you implement the project. Although there is a formula for how much they can request. He also asked if the Board approves both of them, he wanted to make sure they were going to stay \$200,000 or \$120,000 away from the floor, or because it's a different year will it draw each year? Meaning that we'll be able to keep our \$2 million because it's broken up?

Mr. Dworak said yes and there should be some replenishment of that fund this summer as well. One of the things that happened last year was when the Team started their program of taking tickets back from ticket holders who were selling all of their tickets, they ended up taking back more than they were able to reissue and they are now going through the process of getting those issued. That funding should now come back into the fund. So last year the balance actually went down, whereas in almost every other year that we've had this program it goes up, there's always a net increase in it.

Mr. Gaul said he meant to ask when looking at finances, and maybe he just missed it and wasn't being observant enough, but he didn't realize that the District actually lost money on that program.

Mr. Dworak said they actually took back more. They did not reissue all the tickets that they took back last year, and the Team said it was a timing thing based on when they were getting those tickets back and not being able to turn around and get all of those issued.

Mr. Gaul asked Mr. Dworak about the reserve capital improvement fund. At some point prior to his being on this board, \$2 million was decided as the number to cover something that obviously was in time of focus. He wondered with inflation, is \$2 million the right number? If something big happens, is that going to cover what we would be looking for? He thinks that's something to ask Sigma for a recommendation on. What makes sense in terms of reserve in that fund going forward.

Vice-Chair Lucius said that's a good question because this project is more than \$2 million.

Mr. Charles said at the end of the day, also another question along that line, does it really mean anything? Because if we went to zero reserve and let them spend it all and they need something, they've got to fund it anyway. They have to pay for it. So the only advantage to us having a big reserve is we get the interest on the reserve fund. Mr. Dworak said the District doesn't even keep that. The interest just adds to the pot. It doesn't come out of that fund and it may be a moot issue.

Vice-Chair Lucius said they might use it if there is a bathroom issue. To him, the value of that is where we can influence that bathroom issue if we want by not approving the capital request or holding off on it and saying we want them to address bathrooms before they address something else.

Mr. Dworak said to Mr. Charles' point as well, even if we had zero funds, the Packers in an emergency situation would have to replace it and maintain it.

11. DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Dworak reported on the first item in the report is the fund statement, which ties back to the 8257 Fund, the Operations and Maintenance and the Special Events and Economic Development Fund. This is basically like our balance sheet. It shows the balances in the entire history of those funds in that program.

Also in this section immediately following is the final Operations and Maintenance expenditure reimbursement. We reviewed in December the interim request for \$15 million. So this adds the \$2.5 million roughly that was left after that initial interim request, basically representing the December home games ticket tax revenue.

Mr. Graul said they have listed \$19 million. Mr. Dworak said they listed \$19 million in expenditures and requested \$17.5 which was available.

Mr. Graul said this isn't relevant for today because it is what it is, but just as if we ever do get into a lease discussion, there's things on here, like, clearly, our dollars are paying for the troopers to transport visiting teams. Mr. Dworak said that is for the troopers that are actually on the premises. So they're either at corners or nearby and it should not be for visiting team escorts. He had them remove that off the list. He asked about the law enforcement cost for City of Appleton. Mr. Dworak said that they just borrowed them in the same way that we have Brown County Sheriffs and the City of Green Bay.

Another question that Mr. Graul had was on page 8, there's an item for seat rental for PMI. Mr. Dworak said he could find out. He knows PMI provides staffing to the stadium for game day operations and not sure why it's labeled seat rental. That could be staffing for the seat rental program, but Mr. Dworak said he would follow-up with the Team.

Mr. Rivera-Wagner said some of them are quite expensive. So if you go further down to page 9, \$50,000 would be expensive that actually are unnamed. Mr. Charles said he hates to pick on the point that they can't get answers at this meeting because Mr. Dworak doesn't have them. It would really be helpful if we would get these questions to him a couple days ahead and let him bring us that information. They're great questions, but it's impossible to get an answer.

Mr. Graul said his first opportunity to do this was this morning. He feels our meetings are an opportunity for us to ask questions, and then Brian can follow up if you can send us an email or a link

the next meeting, and then we'll all be fine. He thinks we should be fine to be able to ask questions about these things at the meeting. He took the time to go through it and he's going to ask his questions.

Mr. Dworak said he will follow up right after this meeting with the Packers about the PMI expenses.

Mr. Graul had another question about page 17, thermal imaging. It's under maintenance - stadium thermal imaging, VDH Electric, \$10,000. Mr. Dworak will ask about this also.

Mr. Rivera-Wagner asked about something called bowl restoration that has two different types of funds that are close to half a million dollars. Mr. Dworak said bowl restoration is concrete work in the seating bowl for the summer, and that's usually a million dollars worth of bowl concrete restoration between two contractors each summer.

Mr. Dworak reported on the District User Fee statement, which is similar to the fund statement. It details the history of that program and where we sit today as it relates to funding and funds available. Again, we will start to see some activity in this account here in maybe yet this month, but for sure in April, May, and June as the Team has some turnover in tickets.

Lastly, the fourth quarter maintenance report from the Team, which has a summary of activities that their maintenance team is performing, which in the fourth quarter is really probably more demand focused based on what happens during games and what they need to recover from. But also in the last nine pages of that report, they've now inserted the 2025 observations that Sigma gave them from the Annual Maintenance Assessment and the progress that they've made in addressing those.

If there are no questions on any of those items under that, we'd be looking for a motion to approve the Director's Report.

Mr. Bouressa asked about the fire in the locker room. I don't even know if that ultimately falls on us at all, but just to understand what happened and how that's being addressed.

Mr. Dworak said it does not fall under the District, but he had a conversation with the Team about a week after that happened and this was a very small fire. The source is still under investigation, but they had very minimal damage. There was a little bit of water damage, and I think maybe \$5,000 worth of carpet tile and ceiling tile. Mr. Bouressa said he's sure the insurance would be involved in that as well but he wondered if anything fell back on the District.

Mr. Dworak said the Team has the entire responsibility for maintaining that or if anything happens they have to take care of it.

Mr. Rivera-Wagner asked about the Sigma recommendations in the maintenance report, and the ones that were approved. Obviously, one of the ones missing was the line. So he wanted to make sure he understood.

Mr. Dworak said these in the quarterly maintenance report are the observations from the physical assessment not the game day review in the packet today.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY TERENCE BOURESSA AND SECONDED BY KEITH LUCIUS TO APPROVE THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT. A vote was taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

12. OTHER MATTERS AUTHORIZED BY LAW

There were no other matters.

13. MOTION TO ADJOURN

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MARK GRAUL AND SECONDED BY KEITH LUCIUS TO ADJOURN THE MEETING. A vote was taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:05 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

Diane Roskom
Administrative Specialist